



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/609,395	(	06/27/2003	David H. Hanes	100201461-1	9366
22879	7590	01/25/2006		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT	PACKA	ARD COMPANY	WANG, DIANA S		
	•	)4 E. HARMONY RO OPERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				2115	

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/609,395	HANES, DAVID H.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Diana S. Wang	2115				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{27 \ Ju}$	<u>ıne 2003</u> .					
<i>,</i> —	•	action is non-final.					
3)∐	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $\mathfrak l$ drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice Notice 3) Information	t(s)  te of References Cited (PTO-892)  te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  or No(s)/Mail Date 07/30/2003.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/609,395 Page 2

Art Unit: 2115

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-22 are pending in this application.

# **Drawings**

2. Figure 1 is a simplified schematic illustrative of an ASPI layer as may be conventionally implemented. It should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 3 and 5 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Application US 6,760,722 B1 issued to Hulikunta Prahlad

Art Unit: 2115

Raghunandan, Karnataka (IN) ("Raghunandan") in view of the publication "ASPI for Win32" issued by Adaptec, Inc. ("Adaptec").

With respect to claim 1, Raghunandan teaches a computer implemented system that receives an input/output requests (Figure 1, element 1, col 1, lines 49 – 51, col 6, lines 33 – 35); generates an input/output request formatted in accordance with a prescribed format (col 6, lines 33 – 34); and submits the generated input/output request to search engine for processing (Figure 1, element 5).

Raghunandan does not explicitly teach that input/output requests formatted in accordance with an application programming interface of an adapter interface layer as claimed.

Adaptec teaches claimed application programming interface of the adapter interface layer (Adaptec: page 1, section [Introduction] "ASPI allows developers to write device drivers and applications which are independent of the specific host adapter platform used to connect SCSI peripherals. This specification defines the protocol between ASPI client applications and the ASPI Manager", page 2, section [Using ASPI for Win 32 – An Overview], "ASPI function calls can be used to retrieve information about the installed SCSI host adapters and devices, and to execute SCSI I/O requests").

Raghunandan and Adaptec are analogous because both are directed for processing input/output requests (Raghunandan: col 1, lines 49 – 52, Adaptec: [An Overview]).

Art Unit: 2115

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Raghunandan and Adaptec. The combination of teachings would allow developers to write device drivers and applications which are independent of the specific host adapter platform.

With respect to claim 2, Raghunandan teaches to parse one or more field values of the received input/output request (Raghunandan: Figure 1, element 3, col 6, lines 40 – 41); and inserting the parsed values into respective fields of a prescribed format (Raghunandan: col 6, lines 49 – 51). Adaptec teaches the application programming interface of an adapter interface layer (Adaptec: page 2, section [Using ASPI for Win 32 – An Overview], "ASPI function calls can be used to retrieve information about the installed SCSI host adapters and devices, and to execute SCSI I/O requests").

As to claim 3, Adaptec teaches a request block data structure (Adaptec: page 4, section [SendASPI32Command Function], a SCSI Request Block (SRB) structure) formatted according to the adapter interface layer (Adaptec: page 5, section [Win32 ASPI Commands], the parsed member values of a request block is listed in Table 4 – 2).

As to claim 5, Adaptec teaches to identify a command type of the received input/output request (Adaptec: page 4, "A command code is used to specify the type of I/O requested").

As to claim 6, Adaptec teaches to identify a command type of the received input/output request and to generate input/output requests further comprises assigning a control code corresponding to the identified command type to an argument of the

Art Unit: 2115

generated input/output request (Adaptec: page 4, "the command code is specified in a SCSI Request Block (SRB) structure. While SRB definition can vary depending on the ASPI command code, all SRBs include a standard SRB header" this is where command code is defined).

As to claim 7, Adaptec teaches to convey the generated input/output request to a host adapter (Adaptec: page 2, section [Using ASPI for Win 32 – An Overview], "ASPI function calls can be used to retrieve information about installed SCSI host adapters and devices", where SCSI host adapters and devices is a type of host adapter).

As to claim 8, Adaptec teaches to convey the generated input/output request to a small computer system interface host adapter (Adaptec: page 2, section [Using ASPI for Win 32 – An Overview], "ASPI function calls can be used to retrieve information about installed SCSI host adapters and devices").

As to claim 9, Adaptec teaches to receive a return data set formatted in accordance with the adapter interface layer (Adaptec: page 2, section [Using ASPI for Win 32 – An Overview], "ASPI function calls can be used to retrieve information about the installed SCSI host adapters and devices, and to execute SCSI I/O requests"); and translate the return data set into a format compatible with the application programming interface (Adaptec: page 3, Table 4 – 1 describes return values format, pages 5 – 18, Tables 4-3, 4-5, 4-7, 4-9, 4-11, 4-13 list possible return values).

As to claim 10, Adaptec teaches that the translated return data set is conveyed to a client application that generated the received input/output request (Adaptec: page 1, section [Introduction] "ASPI allows developers to write device drivers and applications

Art Unit: 2115

which are independent of the specific host adapter platform used to connect SCSI peripherals. This specification defines the protocol between ASPI client applications and the ASPI Manager", page 2, section [Using ASPI for Win 32 – An Overview], "ASPI function calls can be used to retrieve information about the installed SCSI host adapters and devices, and to execute SCSI I/O requests", page 3, Table 4 – 1 describes return values format, pages 5 – 18, Tables 4 – 3, 4 – 5, 4 – 7, 4 – 9, 4 – 11, 4 – 13 list possible return values).

5. Claims 4, 11 – 15 and 16 – 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Application US 6,760,722 B1 issued to Hulikunta Prahlad Raghunandan, Karnataka (IN) ("Raghunandan") in view of the publication "ASPI for Win32" issued by Adaptec, Inc. ("Adaptec") and further in view of US Patent Application US 6,065,096 issued to Brian A. Day, et al. ("Day").

With respect to claim 4, Raghunandan teaches a computer implemented system that receives an input/output requests and generates an input/output request formatted in accordance with a prescribed format.

Raghunandan does not explicitly teach that input/output requests be formatted in accordance with an adapter interface layer as claimed.

Adapter teaches to generate an input/output request formatted according to an adapter interface layer (Adaptec: page 2, section [Using ASPI for Win 32 – An Overview], "ASPI function calls can be used to retrieve information about the installed SCSI host adapters and devices, and to execute SCSI I/O requests"), as claimed.

**Art Unit: 2115** 

Raghunandan and Adaptec do not explicitly teach to generate the input/output request formatted according to a pass-through interface of an operating system, and submitting the generated input/output request to the pass-through interface.

Day teaches the claimed pass-through mode of operation that supports generating the input/output request and submitting the generated input/output request to the pass-through interface (Day: Figure 2, page 3, col 3, lines 10 – 25).

Raghunandan, Adaptec and Day are analogous because they all teach generating and submitting input/output requests.

With respect to claim 4, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Raghunandan, Adapted and Day. The combination of teachings would allow applications to continue use existing application programming interfaces without changes to applications and drivers when operate in a compatible and pass-through mode.

With respect to claim 11, Raghunandan teaches a computer implemented system that receives an input/output requests (Raghunandan : Figure 1, element 1, col 1, lines 49 – 51, col 6, lines 33 – 35); generates an input/output request formatted in accordance with a prescribed format (Raghunandan : col 6, lines 33 – 34); and submits the generated input/output request to search engine for processing (Raghunandan : Figure 1, element 5).

Raghunandan does not explicitly teach that input/output request formatted in accordance with an application programming interface as claimed.

Art Unit: 2115

Adapter teaches the claimed claimed application programming interface of the adapter interface layer (Adaptec: page 1, section [Introduction] "ASPI allows developers to write device drivers and applications which are independent of the specific host adapter platform used to connect SCSI peripherals. This specification defines the protocol between ASPI client applications and the ASPI Manager", page 2, section [Using ASPI for Win 32 – An Overview], "ASPI function calls can be used to retrieve information about the installed SCSI host adapters and devices, and to execute SCSI I/O requests").

Raghunandan and Adaptec do not explicitly teach to a translation layer, as claimed.

Day teaches a pass-through mode of operation that supports generating the input/output request and submitting the generated input/output request to the SCSI pass-through interface (Day: Figure 2, page 3, col 3, lines 10 - 25). The SCSI Pass-through mode that can be used as a translation layer, as claimed.

Raghunandan, Adaptec and Day are analogous because they all teach generating and submitting input/output requests.

With respect to claim 11, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Raghunandan, Adapted and Day. The combination of teachings would allow applications to continue use existing application programming interfaces without changes to applications and drivers when operate in a compatible and pass-through mode.

Art Unit: 2115

As to claim 12, Adaptec teaches to convey, by the adapter interface layer, the generated input/output request to a host adapter (Adaptec: page 2, section [Using ASPI for Win 32 – An Overview], "ASPI function calls can be used to retrieve information about the installed SCSI host adapters and devices, and to execute SCSI I/O requests").

As to claim 13, Adaptec teaches to receive, by the adapter interface layer, a return data set formatted in accordance with the adapter interface layer (Adaptec: page 2, section [Using ASPI for Win 32 – An Overview], "ASPI function calls can be used to retrieve information about the installed SCSI host adapters and devices, and to execute SCSI I/O requests"); and translate the return data set into a format compatible with the application programming interface (Adaptec: page 3, Table 4 – 1 describes return values format, pages 5 - 18, Tables 4 - 3, 4 - 5, 4 - 7, 4 - 9, 4 - 11, 4 - 13 list possible return values).

As to claim 14, Adaptec teaches to convey, by the adapter interface layer, the return data set into a format compatible with the application programming interface (Adaptec: page 2, section [Using ASPI for Win 32 – An Overview], "ASPI function calls can be used to retrieve information about the installed SCSI host adapters and devices, and to execute SCSI I/O requests"); and translate the return data set into a format compatible with the application programming interface (Adaptec: page 3, Table 4 – 1 describes return values format, pages 5 - 18, Tables 4 - 3, 4 - 5, 4 - 7, 4 - 9, 4 - 11, 4 - 13 list possible return values). Day teaches that a translation layer translates return

Art Unit: 2115

data set into a format compatible with the application programming interface (Day: Figure 2, page 3, col 3, lines 10 – 25).

As to claim 15, Adaptec teaches to convey the return data set to an application that originated the received input/output request (Adaptec: page 1, section [Introduction] "ASPI allows developers to write device drivers and applications which are independent of the specific host adapter platform used to connect SCSI peripherals. This specification defines the protocol between ASPI client applications and the ASPI Manager", page 2, section [Using ASPI for Win 32 – An Overview], "ASPI function calls can be used to retrieve information about the installed SCSI host adapters and devices, and to execute SCSI I/O requests", page 3, Table 4 – 1 describes return values format, pages 5 – 18, Tables 4 – 3, 4 – 5, 4 – 7, 4 – 9, 4 – 11, 4 – 13 list possible return values).

With respect to claim 16, Raghunandan teaches a computer implemented system that receives an input/output requests and generates an input/output request formatted in accordance with a prescribed format.

Raghunandan does not explicitly teach that input/output requests formatted in accordance with an adapter interface layer as claimed.

Adaptec teaches to generate an input/output request formatted according to the claimed adapter interface layer (Adaptec: page 2, section [Using ASPI for Win 32 – An Overview], "ASPI function calls can be used to retrieve information about the installed SCSI host adapters and devices, and to execute SCSI I/O requests").

Raghunandan and Adaptec do not explicitly teach that a computer system comprises a processing element, a host adapter and a local interface communicatively

Art Unit: 2115

coupling the processing element and the host adapter to process an input/output request as claimed.

Day teaches the claimed computer system elements of a processing element (Day: Figure 1, element 102), a host adapter (Day: Figure 1, elements 124 and 100), and a local interface communicatively coupling the processing element and the host adapter (Day: Figure 1, elements 150 and 152).

Raghunandan, Adaptec and Day are analogous because they all teach generating and submitting input/output requests.

With respect to claim 16, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Raghunandan, Adapted and Day. The combination of teachings would allow disk interface connections and protocols to be more flexible selected when integrating a personal computer or workstation motherboard with a storage controller.

As to claim 17, Adaptec teaches that the system wherein the adapter interface layer conveys the generated input/output request to the host adapter (Adaptec: page 2, section [Using ASPI for Win 32 – An Overview], "ASPI function calls can be used to retrieve information about installed SCSI host adapters and devices", where SCSI host adapters and devices is a type of host adapter).

As to claim 18, Day teaches that the system further comprising a peripheral device communicatively coupled with the local interface via the host adapter (Adaptec: page 2, section [Using ASPI for Win 32 – An Overview], "ASPI function calls can be used to retrieve information about installed SCSI host adapters and devices", where

**Art Unit: 2115** 

SCSI host adapters and devices is a type of host adapter, and Day: Figure 3, elements 130, 300, 124, col 8, lines 13 – 32).

As to claim 19, Adaptec teaches that the system wherein the peripheral device provides a return data set formatted in accordance with the adapter interface layer to the host adapter in response to receipt of the generated input/output request (Adaptec: page 2, section [Using ASPI for Win 32 – An Overview], "ASPI function calls can be used to retrieve information about the installed SCSI host adapters and devices, and to execute SCSI I/O requests"); and translate the return data set into a format compatible with the application programming interface (Adaptec: page 3, Table 4 – 1 describes return values format, pages 5 - 18, Tables 4 - 3, 4 - 5, 4 - 7, 4 - 9, 4 - 11, 4 - 13 list possible return values).

As to claim 20, Adaptec teaches that the adapter interface layer is operable to receive a return data set formatted in accordance with the adapter interface layer from the host adapter in response to processing of the generated input/output request (Adaptec: page 1, section [Introduction], page 2, section [Using ASPI for Win 32 – An Overview], page 3, Table 4 – 1 describes return values format). Day teaches that a translation layer be used in the system (Day: Figure 2, page 3, col 3, lines 10 – 25).

As to claim 21, Day teaches that the translation layer translates the return data set into a format compatible with the application programming interface (Day: Figure 2, page 3, col 3, lines 10 - 25).

As to claim 22, Adaptec teaches that the system further comprising an application operable to generate the received input/output request, the application

Application/Control Number: 10/609,395 Page 13

Art Unit: 2115

operable to receive a return data set formatted in accordance with the application programming interface (Adaptec: page 1, section [Introduction] "ASPI allows developers to write device drivers and applications which are independent of the specific host adapter platform used to connect SCSI peripherals. This specification defines the protocol between ASPI client applications and the ASPI Manager", page 2, section [Using ASPI for Win 32 – An Overview], "ASPI function calls can be used to retrieve information about the installed SCSI host adapters and devices, and to execute SCSI I/O requests", page 3, Table 4 – 1 describes return values format, pages 5 – 18, Tables 4-3, 4-5, 4-7, 4-9, 4-11, 4-13 list possible return values, Day: Figure 2, page 3, col 3, lines 10-25).

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diana S. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-6522. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on 571-272-3667. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Diana S Wang Examiner Art Unit 2115

January 10, 2006

SHAHID ALAM PRIMARY EXAMINER